1 (Pages 1 to 4)

3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 INDEX FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO EXAMINATION OF MICHAEL CALLAWAY PAGE GARY SCHINAGEL and By Ms. Ford PENNY SCHINAGEL, Husband and Wife, Plaintiffs, CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION OF DEPOSITION 88 CIVIL NO. 07-00481 LH/RLP 5 -vs-THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE, **EXHIBITS** INITIAL REFERENCE ALBUQUERQUE POLICE DEPARTMENT, 7 **PAGE** RUSSELL MOORE, RAYMOND DEL GRECO, 8 30(b)(6) Notice to Take Deposition LEE SPEER and JOHN DOES 1-15, Albuquerque Police Department, Procedural Defendants. Orders, Use of Force 10 DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL CALLAWAY 3 Internal Affairs Unit Use-of-Force Report 11 Form, Chris Juarez February 12, 2008 Internal Affairs Unit Use-of-Force Report 12 9:09 a.m. Form, Russell Moore 200 Lomas NW, Suite 850 13 Albuquerque, New Mexico 5 Internal Affair Unit Use-of-Force Report PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL 14 Form, Lee Speer PROCEDURE, this deposition was: 15 6 New Mexico Court of Appeals Opinion 55 16 Albuquerque Police Department, Procedural TAKEN BY: LISA P. FORD, ESO. Orders, Search and Seizure Without a ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS 17 Warrant 18 REPORTED BY: Amy M. Drum, RPR, NM CCR #49 19 Kendra Tellez Court Reporting, Inc. 20 Suite 1500E 21 300 Central, Southwest Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 22 23 24 25 2 1 APPEARANCES MICHAEL CALLAWAY 2 after having been duly sworn 3 For the Plaintiffs: 3 under oath testified as follows: 4 LISA P. FORD, ESQ. **EXAMINATION** WAGNER, FORD & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 5 200 Lomas Blvd., NW, Suite 850 BY MS. FORD: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 Q. Please state your full name. (505) 242-6300 7 A. Michael D. Callaway. 7 Q. And how do you prefer to be called, Officer For the Defendants: 8 Callaway or Mr. Callaway? LUIS E. ROBLES, ESQ. 10 A. If it's by title it would be Deputy Chief 9 ROBLES, RAEL & ANAYA, P.C. Callaway or Mr. Callaway or Michael is fine too. 11 500 Marquette Ave., NW, Suite 700 12 Q. I'll probably forget which one I've chosen and 10 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 242-2228 13 use them all at different periods of time. 11 14 What is your position with the Albuquerque 12 15 police force? 13 16 A. Deputy chief of police of the field services 14 17 15 bureau. 16 18 Q. What does that position entail, what kind of job 17 19 duties? 18 A. I have oversight of the department's field 19 20 21 services bureau, which is comprised of the -- currently 21 22 the five area commands. In addition, I have oversight 22 23 of our behavioral sciences division, operations review 23 24 section, chaplain program, reserve officer program --24 25 let's see if I'm forgetting anything -- FTO coordinator 25

1

5

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

8

program. That covers it.

2

3

9

My job duties are I'm responsible for day-to-day operations of the department. In addition, I'm responsible for developing policies and procedures for the Albuquerque Police Department. I'm responsible for managing the department's budget for the field services bureau, determining staffing and resource allocations for all law enforcement services across the city.

Each of the area commands operate independently of each other, but also interdependently with all the resources within the police department.

- 12 Q. How long have you held this position?
- 13 A. Since November of 2006.
- 14 Q. And to whom do you report?
- 15 A. The chief of police, Ray Schultz.
- 16 Q. And do you have staff?
- 17 A. Yes, I do.
- 18 Q. How many people work for you in your immediate 19 staff?
- 20 A. My immediate staff is comprised of my operations
- 21 review section, which is staffed by a lieutenant as
- 22 well as three officers. I have an administrative aide
- 23 that reports directly to me. In addition, five area
- 24 commanders are part of my immediate staff, as well as
- 25 my crime prevention specialist, and our department
- 1 psychologist.

2

- Q. How long have you been with APD?
- 3 A. For 20 years.
- Q. What position did you hold prior to your present position?
- 6 A. I was the commander. I held the rank of
- 7 captain. I was commander of the special investigations
- 8 commission.
- 9 Q. Special investigations?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what did that division do?
- 12 A. Essentially, I was responsible for oversight of
- 13 all the departments undercover operations. The two
- 14 sections involved in that were the narcotics section
- 15 and the criminal section.
- 16 Q. How long did you hold that position?
- 17 A. I held that position -- I'm trying to think on
- 18 the dates -- for approximately a year-and-a-half, less
- 19 than two years.
- 20 Q. And what position did you hold before that one?
- 21 A. I was the -- also held the rank of captain. I
- 22 was the southeast area commander, which was responsible
- 23 for day-to-day police operations in the southeast
- 24 quadrant of the city.
- 25 Q. How long did you have that position?

- A. A period of a year.
- 2 Q. One year?
- 3 A. Yes.
 - Q. And how about before that?
- A. Before that I was the -- I held the rank of
- 6 lieutenant. I was the narcotics section commander,
- 7 where I was responsible for oversight of the narcotics
- 8 section. There's three narcotics teams, as well as
- 9 detectives assigned to a couple of different task
- 10 forces.
- 11 Q. And how long did you hold that position?
- 12 A. Approximately a year-and-a-half.
- 13 Q. Is that sort of an average time frame for moving
- 14 through positions?
- 15 A. It just seems to be for me.
- 16 Q. And how about right before that position?
- 17 A. I was a watch commander assigned to the
- 18 foothills area command. And I held that position,
- 19 actually for a period of two-and-a-half years. I had
- 20 oversight of day and swing patrol operations, as well
- 21 as -- well, as well as graveyard operations. There was
- 22 a couple of different assignments. Same position, same
- 23 essential functions, but just different shifts
 - Q. Have you left the employment of APD at any time
- 25 in the past 20 years?

- 1 A. No, I haven't.
- 2 Q. And did you have any law enforcement experience
- 3 prior to joining APD?
 - A. No.

24

6

- 5 Q. Can you tell me a little bit about your
- 6 educational background.
- 7 A. Okay, In 1998 I earned a Master of Arts in
- 8 organizational management from the University of
- 9 Phoenix. My undergraduate degree is university studies
- 10 with emphasis in criminal justice. I earned that in --
- 11 I have to think about the year now -- '94, '95. I
- 12 earned an associate's degree in criminal justice from
- 13 Albuquerque TVI in the early '90s. I'm a graduate of
- 14 the FBI National Academy, 231st session. I attended
- 1 Tule 1 Di Ivational Academy, 2515t 50551011. I attended
- 15 there from December -- or I'm sorry, September to
- 16 December of '07.
- 17 Q. Just this past September?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you know personally any of the named
- 20 defendants in this lawsuit?
- 21 A. No, I do not. Or personally? Well, I'm sorry.
- 22 The named defendants --
- 23 Q. I'll do them individually.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. Do you know Russell Moore.

KENDRA TELLEZ COURT REPORTING, INC.

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

15

16

13

1 case?

- 2 A. I don't remember it, no.
- 3 Q. How about the depositions you gave prior to that 4 one?
- 5 A. Specifically, I'm not certain. I mean, I don't
- 6 remember. I'm -- I don't remember which deposition I 7 gave before that one, sorry.
- 8 Q. How about any depositions that you have a
- 9 recollection of giving in, say, the last three years?
- A. I recall the case regarding an employment action
- where -- I remember there was numerous settings and I
- don't remember the specifics of the deposition itself,
- but it was involving, I guess, an action taken against
- an employee by the department, and ultimately the
- employee sued the department. Bits and pieces of that
- 16 now.
- 17 But to speak specifically to that deposition,
- 18 I'm unable to do so. I remember the case. And like I
- 19 said, there was numerous proceedings that went with the
- particular case, in terms of that type of thing.
- 21 Q. Who was the claimant's attorney in that case, do
- 22 you recall?
- 23 A. Tom Clear.
- 24 Q. Was there a police officer involved?
- 25

- action. I would say with a degree of certainty, most
- of the depositions I have given have pertained to
- 3 employment actions.
- 4 Q. I want to just focus your attention on the other
- 5 depositions that you gave that may have involved
- 6 constitutional right violations. Do you have any
- 7 recollection of testifying in any of those cases by
- 8 deposition?
- 9 A. Like I said, I'm not -- the facts and
- 10 circumstances regarding those don't come to mind at
- this time. And with respect to this matter, I did not
- 12 review or research or delve into any prior depositions
- 13 that I may have given, because I did not know I was
- 14 going to be asked about that.
- 15 Q. Do you keep copies of your prior depositions?
- 16 A. Routinely, no.
- 17 Q. So as we sit here today, are you able to tell me
- 18 about any case that you gave deposition testimony in
- 19 regarding an allegation of a violation of a
- 20 constitutional right?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. How about any police officers, any of those
- 23 stand out as involving you giving deposition testimony
- 24 in a case involving a constitutional right?
- 25 A. Well, I think I've answered that. No, I don't

14

- 1 Q. And who was the police officer?
- 2 A. Joleen Dye.
- 3 Q. And in what other cases do you recall giving a
- deposition in the past three years?
- 5 A. The past three years, those are the only ones
- 6 that are coming to mind.
- 7 Q. If any others come to mind during the course of
- this deposition, please let me know.
- 9 A. Certainly.
- 10 Q. How about going back five years?
- 11 A. Those would be the only ones that come to mind.
- 12 Q. In the past five years you can only recall two
- 13 cases that you testified in?
- 14 A. I'm certain there are others, I just -- I don't
- remember all the facts and circumstances about the
- 16 depositions and those type of things.
- 17 Q. Have you ever given a deposition in a case
- 18 involving an allegation of a violation of a
- 19 constitutional right?
- 20 A. Well, the case that I mentioned may have. The
- first one that I don't remember all the facts and
- circumstances, like I said, it was a deposition. I
- provided one, but it was -- my recollection is that
- involved a constitutional right now that you say it.
- 25 I don't remember -- recall it involving an employment

- have any specific recollection of a particular case or
- 2 anything like that, no.
- 3 Q. I'll hand you what we'll mark as Exhibit 1 to
- your deposition. 5
 - (Exhibit 1 marked for identification.)
- 6 A. Okav.
- 7 Q. (By Ms. Ford) And I will represent that that is
- the 30(b)(6) deposition notice, which presents various
- 9 topics.
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And I believe that you are provided as a 11
- representative for the City of Albuquerque, for the
- 13 police department, to testify concerning one or more of
- 14 these topics; is that your understanding?
- A. Yes. 15
- 16 Q. And that you are, in fact, an agent and
- 17 representative for the city and the police department
- 18 concerning the topics that you're here to testify to?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Can you identify for me those topics that you
- 21 are here for today.
- 22 A. Can you show me specifically which topics. I
- 23 think numbers 3, 5 --
- Q. Okay. Hold on. Number 3, number 5. 24
- A. Number 6, number 7, and number 12, and number -25

KENDRA TELLEZ COURT REPORTING, INC.

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

19 17 investigation done. If it simply means an a portion of number 10. 1 1 2 investigation -- as to whether or not I conducted an O. A portion of number 10? 2 3 investigation, then, I guess, 10 would not be A. I guess that's related. That's it. O. Category number 3 is, "The City of applicable. 4 Q. So you're going to talk in generic terms about Albuquerque/Albuquerque Police Department's policies 5 5 an investigation, if any, that occurred? 6 and procedures, written directives, unwritten 7 directives and position in general regarding entry by 7 A. Yeah, talk about policy matters regarding an APD officers into the personal residences of citizens, investigation and how investigations progress through the police department. 9 including the opening of doors to residences." 10 O. And how did you come upon the knowledge that you 10 A. Okay. just set forth for me about how this incident occurred? Q. You're here to testify regarding that today --11 11 A. By reviewing the police reports of the incident, 12 12 A. Right. a copy of the officer's belt tape, and the supplemental 13 Q. -- on behalf of the City and APD? 13 14 reports written by the responding officers. A. Yes. 14 O. What is your understanding of Officer Moore's 15 O. Did you listen to the belt tape? A. Yes, I did. entry into the Schinagel household the evening of this 16 16 17 Q. From beginning to end? incident? 17 18 A. Yes, I did. A. Okay. My understanding is that Officer Moore 18 19 Q. Did you read a transcript of it? was investigating a loud noise complaint. He was 19 20 A. Yes, I did. outside the residence. He knocked on the door. The 20 21 O. You did both? volume of the music was such that -- well, nobody 21 A. Yes. 22 22 answered the door. And the music was loud. And then 23 Q. When did you listen to the belt tape? the sound of it would decrease and become loud again. 23 24 A. I've listened to it a couple of -- well, Officer Moore knocked at the door several times. 24 probably three times. The first time was approximately Ultimately Officer Moore opened the door to the 25 20 18 a week ago. And I reviewed it yesterday, again, in 1 residence, made notification by announcing, 1 preparation for speaking here today. 2 2 "Albuquerque police." Q. What format did you listen to the belt tape in, And in this particular incident, Mr. Schinagel's 3 3 was it on the micro cassette? contention is that Officer Moore entered the 4 4 5 A. No, it was a copy burned to a CD. 5 residence. Officer Moore's contention is that he stood 6 Q. And did you review the reenactment of it created at the doorway of the residence, outside the residence. 6 7 by the plaintiffs in this case, the visual reenactment? 7 Ultimately, an altercation took place between 8 A. No, I did not. 8 Officer Moore and Mr. Schinagel, which ended up being 9 O. And I thought you said you listened to the belt 9 inside of the residence. Officer Moore removed 10 tape three times. Mrs. Schinagel. She became involved in the 11 A. Last week when I was listening to it. And the altercation. He removed Mrs. Schinagel from the 11 residence. And additional responding officers came and 12 first time I listened to it, I listened to it a couple 12 13 of times. ultimately placed Mr. Schinagel into custody. 13 Q. And then you said most recently was yesterday? 14 Q. Did you participate in any way in an actual 14 15 A. Yes. investigation of this incident? 15 16 O. Have you read any depositions taken in this 16 A. No. 17 Q. So looking at category 10 on Exhibit 1, that case? 17 reads, "Any investigation of what Officer Russell Moore 18 A. No, I have not. Q. Did you talk with any of the officers involved 19 was doing at any particular time regarding the time and 19 20 matters recorded on his belt tape." 20 in this case? You indicated that you were going to be

21 A. No, I did not. 22

Q. And I assume you spoke with Louis Robles. I

don't want to know the substance of any conversation 23 with him, but did you speak with him about this case? 24

A. Yeah, I spoke with Mr. Robles. 25

21

22

23

24

25

explain that to me.

testifying to a portion of category 10. Can you

A. Well, I thought that related to question number

5 about whether -- about whether or not there was an

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

65 that police officer to open the door to that house? O. And without appropriate circumstances, that 1 A. And my answer is that is where the -- that 2 would be a violation of the citizen's civil rights? 2 3 situation -- how is the best way to put it? Simply A. Okay. You kind of gave me half the question. 3 4 opening the door and announcing, without going into the Q. Would it be fair to say that before a police officer may enter into a citizen's residence, that house, is potentially constitutionally permissible from the standpoint of we can get different opinions, there must be conduct warranting that entry? 7 different legal opinions on that same set of 7 A. Certainly. 8 8 O. And if that conduct is not present, then the circumstances. O. Is it your testimony that that conduct of police officer has violated that citizen's Fourth opening the door is permissible if things like a Amendment constitutional rights -welfare check is invoked or hot pursuit or an exigent 11 A. Sure. 12 circumstance? Q. -- and state civil rights? 12 13 A. Yes. 13 A. Yes. Q. Is opening the door of a residence permissible 14 Q. Absent any of those three, would it be 15 constitutionally permissible to open the door of that constitutional conduct for a police officer? house, given that you're just standing there A. Merely opening the door of the residence? 16 16 17 investigating a loud noise complaint? 17 O. Yes. A. Simply opening the door -- and again -- and 18 18 A. It just would depend on the circumstances. announcing the presence, is potentially permissible. O. What would the circumstances be? 19 19 20 Q. Potentially? A. There's a whole host of circumstances, 20 21 A. Yes. potentially from, depending on the type of circumstance 22 O. That sounds like a qualifier. Explain when it or the type of situation, it's -- things that come to 23 mind is, again, you have a welfare check, to hot would be. 23 24 A. What I'm saying is you have to take into pursuit of an individual, to -- I mean, it's -- could consideration all the circumstances presented to the you kind of narrow the question for me? 66 officer. And we expect them to make a decision on the 1 Q. Sure. scene with the information that is provided to them. The police officer is at the scene investigating 2 3 O. What circumstances, if you don't have a welfare a loud noise violation. And the police officer comes 3 check, a hot pursuit, or exigent circumstances, what to the front door and knocks and there's no response. other circumstances would warrant a police officer What, if any, circumstance would make the police 5 opening the door to a citizen's residence when they're officer's conduct of opening that front door just investigating --7 constitutionally permissible? A. Consent, of course, would be another. 8 A. Well, I think in terms of it, this is where 9 Q. Absent consent, what other circumstances would potentially the law maybe is little unclear. Simply permit an officer to open the door to a citizen's opening the door, announcing your presence, trying to 11 residence while investigating a loud noise complaint? garner the attention of the persons inside, is 12 A. The only other circumstances that comes to mind potentially permissible. Like I say, it's... 12 is -- well, let me see how I would like to say this. Q. I just want to make sure that that is the police 1.3 13 I'll say with respect to -- I think we laid everything 14 department's present position regarding this question. 15 out. I think you mentioned the exigent circumstances, A. Well, I think the way to answer that question is 15 16 welfare check, hot pursuit, consent. 16 the officers at the scene have to initiate an 17 Q. So if none of that is present, the officer does 17 investigation and take into consideration their not have a constitutional right to open the door to a 18 observations and decide upon the most prudent course of 18 citizen's house, does he or she? 19 action based on all of their observations at the 20 A. Let me mention this. This is where the law has 20 scene. been unclear on simply opening the door of a residence 21 21 Q. Going back to my previous question. 22 in terms of --22 Given the circumstance of an investigation of a loud noise violation and the officer is standing in a 23 O. Describe for me how the law is unclear. 23 A. Well, in terms of -- I'm not familiar --24 24 door and he's knocked and nobody has answered within a obviously, I'm not intimately familiar with this matter 25 few minutes, is it constitutionally permissible for

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

71

72

69

1 as yourself and Mr. Robles would be, but I can say with

- 2 some degree of informed opinion is that
- 3 constitutionally simply opening the door has not been.
- in terms of loud music, loud party calls, has not been
- viewed as necessarily constitutionally prohibited in
- 6 and of itself. It does not necessarily constitute an
- 7 entry into the residence, in and of itself.
- Q. And where did you obtain that knowledge?
- 9 A. Specifically, I've had discussions with
- 10 attorneys, I have read some legal opinions in years
- 11 past. I can't cite anything specifically, but...
- 12 Q. As a representative spokesperson for APD, are
- you testifying here today that it is APD's position
- that until the law becomes clearer, it is
- 15 constitutionally permissible for police officers to
- 16 open the door of a citizen's residence when they're
- investigating noise violations?
- A. No, I'm not making that specific statement, I
- 19 think. But I have answered the question to the best of
- 20 my abilities. So you're making -- like I said, I'm
- 21 trying to answer your question, but I think you're
- drawing the conclusion.
- Q. Well, I don't want to draw an erroneous
- 24 conclusion. I really want to understand.
- 25 A. Can I ask you a question? Can we take a

the complaint, take into consideration his or her

- personal observations of the noise complaint, and other 3
 - factors such as the time of day, exactly the extent of
 - the disturbance, in terms of how loud it is, is the

level of the noise unreasonable or not.

And then the first course of action would be to attempt to contact the responsible party and make -ask them to, of course, turn the noise down.

- Q. And how would that be performed?
- A. How would that be performed?
- 11
 - A. By proceeding to the location of the complaint
- 13 and making contact with the person. 14
 - Q. How would that be performed?
- 15 A. In this case, I'll jump ahead a little bit.
- 16 With respect to a residence, knocking on the door of
- 17 the residence and trying to make contact with the
- 18 person.
- 19 Q. Is there any policy or procedure dealing with
- 20 calling the resident if the phone number is listed?
- 21 A. A specific policy or procedure, no.
- 22 Q. Is that routinely done?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. So Officer Moore had several options when he
 - approached the Schinagel household to investigate the

70

restroom break?

MS. FORD: Sure.

(Recess taken from 10:47 a.m. to 10:51 a.m.)

- 3 Q. (By Ms. Ford) Category number 7 in Exhibit 1
- 4 5 deals with policies and procedures for investigating a
- 6 noise violation.
- 7 A. Okay.

1

2

- 8 Q. Are you familiar with those?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Can you just -- is there anything written down,
- 11 first of all?
- 12 A. Regarding noise violation, specifically, no.
- 13 Q. Can you then set forth for me what the City's
- 14 policies and procedures are for a police officer
- investigating a noise violation?
- A. Certainly. Of course, a call is received, and
- 17 I'll make an assumption we're talking noise violation
- 18 at a residence versus an automobile, for example.
- Typically a call is received to dispatch, who takes and
- collects the information presented by the caller,
- provides that information to the dispatcher, who
- 22 ultimately dispatches an officer on the call.
- 23 The officer's responsibility, if possible, would
- 24 be to contact the calling party, ascertain the nature
- of the complaint and then proceed to the location of

- loud noise violation. One was to -- let me just get
- 2 this straight -- knock on the door to try to make
- 3 contact with the resident; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

4

- 5 Q. Would Officer Moore have available to him an
- investigation of the curtilage around the house?
- 7 A. What do you mean by the question?
- 8 Q. Should he have opened closed gates and gone down
- 9 side alleys around this house as part of his noise
- 10 violation investigation?
- 11 A. Gone down side alleys. The side of the house,
- 12 specifically you're meaning?
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 A. That just depends on the circumstances that
- 15 presented itself at the time to him.
- Q. Are you familiar with the term "curtilage"? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And what is it?
- 19 A. That is the property surrounding the exterior of
- 20 the house.
- 21 Q. Is there a significance to the term, in terms of
- 22 Fourth Amendment protections?
- 23 A. Yes, there is.
- 24 O. What is it?
- A. That curtilage is protected. 25

KENDRA TELLEZ COURT REPORTING, INC.

73

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

75

76

O. Is it fair to say that absent certain 1

circumstances, the curtilage of a house should not be 2

broken by a police officer? 3

A. Let me say this, because I think we're kind of 4

5 getting into a legal analysis again. Regarding that, I

would respectfully say that, again, maybe the city 6

attorney or the prosecuting attorney would be the 7

person to ask those questions to. 8

Q. What would the curtilage of a house normally be 9

of a residence?

A. The property immediately surrounding the house 11

12 itself.

O. And would the curtilage of the Schinagel's house 13

include their alley which was closed in by a closed

15 gate?

16 A. Yes.

17 O. Officer Moore also had available to him the

18 option of trying to telephone and reach the Schinagels

by phone; is that correct?

A. Yes, through radio communications. I do not 20

21 know if he had his own phone or not.

Q. So he would have called dispatch, they would 22

have tried to find the phone number, and then they

would have probably called. Is that the way it often

25 works out?

was investigating the noise violation? 1

2 A. No, I do not.

O. What then should Officer Moore have done? He's

knocking at the door and nobody's answering, what then

5 is the policy and procedure for what a police officer

should have done?

A. Continue to try to make contact with the owners

or the responsible parties.

O. How?

7

9

10 A. Through repeated knocks, through potentially

calling. And in this case, I know Officer Moore took 11

12 the action of opening the door and calling out trying

13 to garner their attention.

Q. And is it your testimony that Officer Moore's 14

15 conduct in opening the door to try to get their

attention was in compliance with APD policies and

17 procedures?

18 A. It's my testimony that it's not a violation of

19 policy, that's correct.

Q. To your knowledge, has there been any other

complaints lodged against the City for officers opening

22 doors to citizen's residences?

23 A. I'm not familiar.

Q. So you can't say either way, you just don't 24

25 know?

74

A. I don't know.

Q. Is there any specialized training, to your 2

knowledge, given to police officers concerning the very

issue of when they may or may not open the door of a

5 residence?

6 A. There's specialized training regarding search

7 and seizure.

O. How about more specific to the issue of when

they may or may not open the door to a citizen's

residence? 10

11 A. That specific, no.

Q. No, you're not aware of any training? 12

A. I'm not aware of any training. 13

Q. Are you familiar with the training enough to 14

15 know whether there's no training provided on that

16 issue?

17 A. I would defer to the persons who provide that

training to answer that question exactly -- to all the 18

specifications of the training. I'm not aware of any 19

20 training that specific.

Q. How about, are you here to testified in any way ZΙ

regarding the use of the deployment of the tazer in 22

23 this case?

24 A. No, I'm not.

Q. Just so I'm clear, was there an investigation of 25

A. Typically, yes. With the advent of cell phones 1

2 being as prolific as they are, of course, sometimes 3 officers simply obtain a number and they try on their

4 own phone as well.

O. And do you have any knowledge as to whether 5

6 Officer Moore tried that?

7 A. No, I do not. O. Do you have any understanding as to what Officer 8

Moore was doing for the nine plus minutes before he made contact with the Schinagels?

A. Other than noting the violation, I do not know. 11

Well, I can only speculate, in terms of looking for 12 access to the residence or the doors to the residence,

walking around, walking on the side of the house,

walking, looking for -- the doors are kind of confusing 15 16 with the house. If you've seen it, essentially,

17 there's two front doors to the house.

Q. So you have seen photographs of the house? 18

19

Q. When did you see the photographs of the house? 20

A. Within the last week or so. I'm familiar with 21

22 the location of the house. I've physically seen the front of the house from the street.

Q. Do you have any explanation as to why Officer 24 25 Moore didn't knock for the full nine minutes that he